

ITEM 5 CPAC PUBLIC COMMENT 001

From: [S Willett](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Cc: [Baatar, Bilegt](#)
Subject: comment on increasing Church of God's multipurpose room - PLNP 2019-00359
Date: Wednesday, July 8, 2020 11:49:02 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

To whom it may concern:

I spoke with Bilegt Baatar regarding the church's request to increase their multipurpose room with an additional 1,100 sq feet. He suggested that I write to you.

I live next door to the church. I purchased my land in 1984 and finished building my house in 1990. The original farm house burned in the late 1950s. I was told that the owner of the house (that burned) did not like driving to church, so he gave the church the property next door to his home so he could walk to church. Over the years that I have owned the property there has been three different churches that have used the property. The longest time of use was the first church. This church was the original church from 1950. With the first church, the pastor (and his family) lived in the house on the property. The second did not have the pastor live on the property. The third church also does not have anyone living in the house. The current church purchased the property in 2014.

I mentioned to Bilegt my concerns over parking and fire danger. When there is a funeral or wedding there is not enough parking. Consequently, cars park on Grant Ave and Marshall Ave. Grant and Marshall narrow after the sidewalk ends. The sidewalk is along the church property. Both streets do not have a line down the center because there is not enough room for 2 cars to pass. For Grant, there are ditches and lawns on the sides of the asphalt. When cars park on both sides, larger cars can not drive down the street. Additionally, fire trucks can not go down the street. Lastly, on Grant where there is lawn, cars try to pull off the asphalt and then partially park on people's lawns.

Over the years when a funeral happens, we know it won't be for very long with cars everywhere. This is because the wake after the funeral has to be held somewhere else. Similar with weddings, the reception has to be held somewhere else, so we know all the cars won't be blocking everything for too long. If the multipurpose room is expanded then the wake and reception will occur on the property and I'll end up calling the Sheriff to have the cars moved.

Because of the previous problems we have had with cars and fire trucks not being able to go down the street, I'm against increasing the size of the multipurpose room.

Sincerely,

Susan Willett
6629 Grant Ave

ITEM 5 CPAC PUBLIC COMMENT 002

From: [James O. Kearns](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: Project PLNP2019-000359 Church of God (Seventh Day)
Date: Sunday, July 12, 2020 7:37:37 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

TO: boardclerk@saccounty.net

SUBJECT: Project PMNP2019-00359 Church of God (Seventh Day) Use Permit

James and Honglan Liu Kearns

3627A Marshall Ave

Carmichael, California 95608

We wholeheartedly approve of the change to the use permit for Project PLNP2019-000359 Church of God (Seventh Day).

A church is anyone's favorite neighbor, and this particular church is a very positive neighborhood influence. We support anything to help keep this church vibrant and prosperous. The church is adjacent to the south side of our property, and the new fence required by this project will be shared by our two properties. We are aware and prepared to financially support our portion of the cost of this fence.

There is no negative aspect to approving this project that we can think of.

Sincerely,

James and Honglan Liu Kearns

ITEM 5 CPAC PUBLIC COMMENT 003

From: [Sydney Walker](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Subject: Sacramento Church of God
Date: Tuesday, July 7, 2020 8:06:25 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, do not click links/attachments.

Hello,

I live in the area of the Sacramento Church of God. I am fine with them wanting to add additional footage to their Multi-Purpose Room. They are good neighbors. I hope you will grant them the necessary permits they need. My address is 3505 Dutch Way

Thank you,

Sydney Walker
916-717-0523
Sent from my iPhone 7 Plus

From: [David Wright](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email](#)
Cc: [Baatar. Bilegt](#)
Subject: PLNP2019-00359, Church of God (Seventh Day)
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 9:33:25 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, do not click links/attachments.

As a property owner facing the Church of God (Seventh Day) on Grant Avenue, Carmichael, I have concerns about this project proposal because it does not take into consideration the serious impact larger church events will have on the immediate community. This is a cul-de-sac community with no commercial activity, little street parking and no through traffic. The proposed larger Multipurpose Room at the church is designed to host larger events which will seriously impact the quiet tranquility of the neighborhood. In addition, larger and more frequent church events will require street parking in front of the church as the church's parking lot is too small. When cars park in the street the entire neighborhood is impacted and put at risk. In case of an emergency, fire trucks and first responders may not be able to enter the cul-de-sacs west of the church as parked cars on the street will potentially cut off access to Grant Avenue and Dutch Way residences. In order to allow for traffic to pass on Grant Avenue, cars parking on the south side of the street, immediately in front of the church, inevitably park on my property instead of in the street. I have created parking spaces on my property for my immediate family and friends, and not for the the church to use.

This proposal may have serious environmental impact on the community. A CEQA environmental impact report should be completed before the proposal is approved.

I have been a good neighbor with this church for over 20 years and support its current level of activity. However, I do not want to see it expand its activities, increase its size of events or seriously put into jeopardy the harmony and quiet tranquility of the residential community in which it resides. The church needs to properly address its parking limitations if it wants to expand in size and frequency of events.

Sincerely,

David Wright
6624 Grant Avenue
Carmichael, CA 95608

From: [Lora Cammack](#)
To: [Clerk of the Board Public Email: Baatar. Bilegt](#)
Subject: Sacramento Church of God, Control# PLNP 2019-00359
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 2:28:18 PM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

I live at 3525 Dutch Way, Carmichael. Dutch Way intersects Grant Avenue just two parcels west of the Sacramento Church of God, between Landis Avenue and Carmichael Creek.

This project is not within the purpose of the *Carmichael Creek Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance No. SZC 88-0015* dated 4-6-88 as described in Section 534-101- Purpose: "It is the purpose of the Board of Supervisors adopting this Neighborhood Preservation Area Ordinance to preserve and protect the unique semi-rural residential character of the neighborhood..."

The church in its' current configuration predates the adoption of the *Carmichael Creek Neighborhood Preservation Ordinance*, so it was "Grandfathered in" when the NPA Ordinance was adopted. Expanding the physical size and attendance of the church would further detract from the "unique semi-rural residential character of the neighborhood" this ordinance was enacted by the Board of Supervisors to protect.

I am concerned about the increase in traffic and parking along Grant Avenue and the deleterious effect on our semi-rural residential neighborhood the expansion of the existing church and the addition of seating for 80 more congregants would entail.

Where will these congregants park? Grant Avenue is too narrow to accommodate the resulting streetside parking. At places, parking on just one side of the street turns Grant Avenue into basically a one-lane street and could block access to fire trucks.

Please do not approve this plan.

Thank you,

Lora Cammack
3525 Dutch Way
Carmichael, California 95608

From: teri.leo
To: Clerk of the Board Public Email
Subject: PLNP2019-00359
Date: Wednesday, July 15, 2020 11:35:25 AM

EXTERNAL EMAIL: If unknown sender, **do not** click links/attachments.

My name is Terri Leo.

I reside at 6616 Grant Ave.

I am going on record as opposed to both components of the proposal referred to as project name - Church of God .

1. Absolutely "NO"

Regarding proposal to legalize an existing church on Grant Ave and Marshall

2. Absolutely NO to increasing current rec hall on said property by 1,100 feet.

Our community is residential . The roads, many of which are unincorporated, are not painted with yellow lines . This indicates the site is in a rural setting. Roads not suited to night driving. As well, there are limited street lights on the roads into and from the site.

If the church is "made legal" their goal will be to stay fiscally sound . This may lead to renting out their facility . We could see the facility rented for weddings , bingo, conferences, a school, or many other endless possibilities seven days and /or nights a week.

I am not willing to support this project because this is a rural, residential neighborhood; not zoned for a business.

Any additional traffic in the community will negatively impact our neighborhood. It will increase pollution, and impact recreational traffic ie walkers, joggers, bicyclists. The heavy construction trucks that would need to rumble down the roads that we need to use to access our homes get destroyed . I am not for any further degradation of our already potholed and distressed infrastructure . Purported funds that are supposed to be paid back by said construction companies for road repair ... "Hahaha. Ha ha! ", she said.

Our community has protected status ("Pacific Fly Way"), and a Carmichael Creek Neighborhood Community Preservation Association to monitor projects that could potentially have negative impact on our community. Were the interests of this entity involved in the development of this project?

As a 35+ year resident, It is imperative to protect not only our rural roads, but our lifestyle and wildlife interests that so represent the character of the neighborhood, as well..

No to this proposal because of increase traffic 7 days /night a week.

NO to proposed legalization of and development of "church" .

I absolutely reject this proposed project because it increases need for parking. There is limited parking on this site and overflow parking ends up on our "one car wide" county road.

Said project does not address parking in their proposal. When we have been inundated with overflow parking in the past, parts of our street become a challenge to navigate especially for delivery trucks, landscaping services, or essential services such as fire and ambulance .

With proposal including doubling the size of the recreation room ; there is potential for 102 more people which increases the number of vehicles being used. Potentially, possibly up to 100 more vehicles .

I go on record as rejecting this proposal for increasing the capacity of rec room and for redefining legalization status of the "church" on this property site.

In addition to rejecting this proposal,

I request information regarding how many notices were sent out in the community.

I also request information to understand why the signs posted for this proposed development were located in secluded portion of the project site . Was it a strategic attempt to have little to

no viewing of said notice , thus limiting comments. Are there guidelines that dictate placement of notices for maximum notice /potential viewability?

Terri Leo

916)944-4383

.

Sent from Samsung Galaxy smartphone.